International Journal of Law, Justice & Statutory Affairs
Volume 1, Issue 2, 2025

An extensive analysis of forensic science in the Indian judicial system Opportunities, difficulties, and consequences for criminal investigations and prosecutionsAn extensive analysis of forensic science in the Indian judicial system Opportunities, difficulties, and consequences for criminal investigations and prosecutions

Vikasdeep Singh Kohli
Faculty of Law
Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto Nigeria

Research Article

Date of Submission: 17-04-2025 **Date of Acceptance: 08-05-2025** **Date of Publication: 24-06-2025**

Abstract
In today's criminal investigations and the administration of justice, forensic science has become an essential instrument. Forensic evidence, which provides scientific confirmation in the search for the truth, is crucial in bridging the gap between law enforcement and the court in the Indian legal system. In order to highlight the importance of forensic science in criminal investigations and trials, this study examines the legislative framework, operational dynamics, and historical development of forensic science in India. An introduction to forensic science and how it is incorporated into the Indian legal system is given at the start of the course. It looks at significant turning points in the evolution of forensic techniques in India as well as notable instances that have highlighted their significance in court decisions. The legal framework controlling forensic evidence is further examined in this article, with particular attention to provisions included in the Indian Evidence Act and the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as court interpretations that have influenced the reliability and admissibility of such evidence. The research also examines the function of investigative agencies, including the duties of police and forensic specialists, and emphasizes the difficulties in coordinating law enforcement and forensic labs. The efficient use of forensic science in criminal justice is severely hampered by a critical assessment of technological, infrastructural, and human resource issues. In order to boost the forensic science ecosystem in India, the paper's conclusion identifies reform prospects and advocates for improved infrastructure, improved training, and strong legislative requirements. In order to address current issues and maximize the potential of forensic science in guaranteeing justice and accountability within the Indian judicial system, the research hopes to provide insightful information via this analysis to politicians, legal professionals, and forensic specialists.

Keywords: Digital forensics, infrastructure, accreditation, criminal justice, forensic science, and the legal system

Introduction

In a nation like India, where the legal system confronts many difficulties, forensic science is essential to the investigation and prosecution of crimes. The use of scientific methods and concepts to resolve criminal cases and legal disputes is known as forensic science. It includes a number of academic fields that collaborate to provide evidence that may be used in court, including biology, chemistry, physics, and digital forensics. Forensic science is an essential instrument in contemporary law enforcement because of its capacity to objectively evaluate evidence and provide clarification in complicated instances.

Over time, the Indian court system's use of forensic evidence has changed dramatically. Forensic science is becoming more and more

integrated into investigations and trials under Indian criminal law, which is mainly regulated by the Indian Penal Code (IPC), the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC), and the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. Reconstructing crime scenes, identifying the offender, and connecting suspects to criminal activity are all made easier with the use of forensic evidence. In order to determine guilt or innocence, the Indian court and law enforcement authorities have been depending more and more on forensic evidence, especially in complicated cases involving violent crimes, fraud, and cybercrimes.

Although there are significant restrictions on the use of forensic evidence, the Indian judicial system does acknowledge it. For instance, expert evidence is allowed in court under Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, and forensic specialists may provide crucial insights in fields like toxicology, DNA analysis, and fingerprint identification. The difficulty, however, is integrating forensic science into the legal system in a reliable and efficient manner. Even though the significance of forensic evidence has grown in recent years, there are still large disparities in the availability, quality, and uniformity of forensic procedures around the nation.

To ensure accurate and fair results in criminal cases, forensic science must be integrated into the justice delivery system. A lack of direct proof, false confessions, and untrustworthy eyewitness testimony are all problems that forensic science may assist solve. In situations of sexual assault, murder, and other severe crimes, when the stakes are high and there is a considerable chance of a miscarriage of justice, it is especially helpful. By lowering the number of unresolved cases in Indian courts, identifying suspects, and generating concrete leads, forensic evidence may help speed up investigations.

Additionally, the court system's openness and legitimacy may be improved by incorporating forensic science into the legal process. When used appropriately, forensic evidence bolsters the prosecution's and defense's cases, guaranteeing that justice is carried out on the basis of facts rather than conjecture. Since forensic science gives the legal system an empirical basis, its contribution to ensuring justice in criminal investigations and trials cannot be emphasized. Despite its promise, forensic science in India confronts several obstacles, including poor infrastructure, a shortage of skilled workers, and delays in forensic testing.

The Indian government has acknowledged that forensic procedures need to be improved and reformed. To increase the breadth and caliber of forensic science, a number of projects have been started, including the creation of the National Forensic Sciences University and the implementation of new forensic regulations. However, further institutional, administrative, and legal changes are needed to create a fully integrated forensic science system. Improving the technical elements of investigations is just one facet of integrating forensic science into the justice delivery system; another is making sure that these developments lead to speedier trials, more accurate judgments, and improved access to justice.

Historical Background and Evolution

Although it has become increasingly popular and sophisticated in recent decades, the use of forensic science in criminal investigations is not a new development. With roots in antiquated methods, forensic science's incorporation into India's criminal justice system has developed steadily thanks to important institutional, legal, and technical developments. The development of forensic science in India has been impacted by both the acceptance of Western scientific methodology and traditional traditions, reflecting the growth of the nation's judicial system.

1. Pre-Colonial and Ancient India Conventional Crime-Solving Methods: Witness accounts, tangible evidence, and circumstantial clues were the mainstays of early Indian legal systems. Arthashastra (3rd century BCE): Although it did not explain forensic science as we know it today, Kautilya's Arthashastra discusses the need of evidence collection and investigative tactics. Limited Scientific Methods: At this time, investigations were often focused on local court norms and community customs, and the utilization of tangible evidence was primitive.

1. British Colonial Influence (19th Century)

Introduction of Modern Investigative Methods: TThe use of scientific procedures in investigations and more systematic approaches to criminal justice were introduced by British colonial control.

Fingerprinting: One of the first nations to use fingerprinting in criminal investigations was India in 1897. The technique was first used in a criminal

case in India after being originally introduced by the British.

Ballistics and Toxicology: In order to solve crimes, ballistics and forensic toxicology were adopted in the late 19th century.

Formation of Criminal Investigation Departments In order to solve crimes, ballistics and forensic toxicology were adopted in the late 19th century.

2. Post-Independence Development (1947-1950s)

Legal Framework: The foundation for incorporating forensic science into judicial procedures was established by India's adoption of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) in 1860, the Indian Evidence Act in 1872, and the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC) in 1973 after its independence.

Incorporation of Expert Testimony Forensic scientists are now able to testify on a variety of scientific analyzes because to Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, which permits expert views to be entered as evidence in court.

Establishment of Forensic Laboratories: The Central Forensic Science Laboratory (CFSL) was founded in India in 1951 to provide scientific analysis to law enforcement organizations.

3. Expansion of Forensic Science (1960s-1970s)

Regional Laboratories To assist local law enforcement organizations, regional forensic labs were established across India during this time.

Growth of Forensic Disciplines: The integration of forensic disciplines like toxicology, ballistics, and serology (blood analysis) into criminal investigations gave law enforcement more instruments for scientific evidence interpretation.

Initial Use of DNA Analysis: Significant progress was made in biochemical analysis and blood testing, which laid the groundwork for future developments even if DNA profiling was not yet developed.

4. Technological Advancements (1980s-1990s)

Introduction of DNA Profiling The advancement of DNA profiling transformed forensic science in the early 1980s. In the 1990s, India embraced this technique, which enabled forensic experts to use genetic evidence to connect suspects to murder sites.

High-Profile Criminal Cases The use of DNA evidence in high-profile cases, like the 1991 Rajiv Gandhi murder probe, showed how forensic technology can solve complicated crimes.

Increase in the Use of Forensic Experts: With forensic specialists testifying in many criminal cases around the nation, the use of forensic science in courts expanded as it acquired legitimacy.

5. Modernization and Institutionalization (2000s-Present)

Cyber Forensics and Digital Evidence: As cybercrimes increased, digital forensics became part of forensic science. As technology and the internet grew, new problems like hacking, cyber fraud, and data breaches emerged, necessitating the use of specialized forensic techniques.

Advancement in Forensic Technology: The development of sophisticated forensic methods in the twenty-first century, such as digital forensics, forensic anthropology, and forensic odontology, enabled India to keep up with new types of criminal activity.

National Forensic Sciences University: The National Forensic Sciences University was founded in Gandhinagar, Gujarat, in 2008 to increase professional training and understanding in the area by offering specialized education and research in forensic science.

National Forensic Science Policy (2019): In 2019, the Indian government introduced the National Forensic Science Policy, which aims to increase the use of scientific techniques in criminal investigations and trials, standardize forensic procedures, and enhance laboratory infrastructure.

Emerging Forensic Fields: As technology continues to progress, emerging disciplines like forensic pathology, forensic accounting, and forensic linguistics have further broadened the use of forensic science in judicial investigations.

Legal Framework Governing Forensic Science in India In the Indian criminal justice system, forensic science is an essential component of investigations, evidence gathering, and court cases. A number of laws, rules, and guidelines that together guarantee the methodical use of scientific methods in criminal investigations provide the legal framework that governs forensic science in India. The Indian Penal Code (IPC), the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC), the Indian Evidence Act, and a number of other specific rules and regulations largely control how forensic science is incorporated into the judicial system. These

clauses specify the duties and credentials of forensic specialists in addition to establishing the validity of forensic evidence in court.

.

1. Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860

Role of Forensic Science: The IPC does not specifically address forensic science; instead, it outlines criminal crimes and specifies consequences. However, in order to investigate and prove offenses under the IPC, forensic evidence is essential. For example, in situations of murder (Section 302), sexual crimes (Section 376), and poisoning (Section 328), forensic techniques including DNA profiling, ballistic analysis, and toxicology are essential.

Section 304: Forensic evidence, such as autopsy findings and post-mortem reports, may assist identify the cause of death and how it happened in situations of culpable murder.

Admissibility of Forensic Evidence: Despite not mentioning forensic science explicitly, the IPC acknowledges the use of scientific techniques in criminal investigations, particularly where they provide critical links to criminal activities.

2. Indian Evidence Act, 1872

A pillar of Indian criminal law, the Indian Evidence Act establishes guidelines for the admission, applicability, and assessment of evidence in court. The Evidence Act's requirements are closely related to forensic science.

Section 45 - Expert Testimony: Experts may testify on topics requiring specialist expertise under Section 45 of the Evidence Act. In criminal proceedings, forensic specialists—such as pathologists, toxicologists, fingerprint experts, and ballistics experts—offer testimony based on scientific evidence. Forensic specialists play a crucial role in assisting the court in comprehending intricate scientific evidence. Thus, the part supports the use of forensic science in legal proceedings.

Section 46 - Opinion of Experts: This clause gives judges the authority to consult experts in a variety of forensic fields. It has made it possible for forensic evidence—such as autopsy reports, DNA evidence, and forensic psychiatric reports—to be included as important determinants of case outcomes.

Section 57 - Facts of Common Knowledge: This section treats forensic evidence—such as DNA profiling, fingerprints, and blood analysis—as common knowledge when they are used as evidence in court because they have become widely recognized facts.

Section 3 - Definition of Relevant Facts: Finding pertinent information for a case is a major function of forensic science. A fingerprint on a weapon or biological evidence found at a crime scene are examples of scientific evidence that is directly related to establishing facts in a case.

3. Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC), 1973

The processes for carrying out criminal investigations, trials, and the execution of verdicts are described in the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC). By offering an organized framework for using forensic science in criminal justice procedures, the CrPC enhances the Evidence Act and the IPC.

Section 53 - Examination of the Accused: This clause permits the accused to undergo a medical examination, which is a crucial application of forensic science. For instance, in situations involving assault or sexual crimes, a forensic medical examination may be utilized to gather DNA samples, conduct toxicological testing, or evaluate the accused's injuries.

Section 54 - Examination of the Victim: This clause permits the victim to be examined medically, just like the accused. In order to ascertain the cause of death or injury, forensic experts may do post-mortem investigations, sexual assault forensic examinations, or gather forensic evidence.

Section 165 - Power to Investigate Without Warrant: Law enforcement officials do not need a warrant to collect things for forensic investigation in instances that need prompt forensic analysis. The gathering of evidence that is essential for criminal investigations is accelerated by this section.

Section 29 - Use of Forensic Evidence in Investigation: This provision permits the use of forensic techniques, such as gathering biological evidence such as fingerprints and blood samples, in criminal investigations.

4. The Forensic Science Laboratory System

Central Forensic Science Laboratory (CFSL) The main organization in India in charge of offering forensic analysis in criminal cases is the Ministry of Home Affairs' CFSL, which was founded in 1951. By offering professional examination of tangible

evidence from crime scenes, it supports courts and law enforcement.

State Forensic Science Laboratories (SFSL): It is mandatory for every Indian state to set up a forensic science lab. To guaranty that forensic science is available at the state level, these labs collaborate with the CFSL. They

Role of Investigative Agencies in Forensic Science in India

As a link between the courts and law enforcement, forensic science is essential to contemporary criminal investigations. Forensic science is crucial to the accuracy and dependability of investigations conducted by Indian investigative organizations including the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI), National Investigation Agency (NIA), State Police Departments, and Special Investigation Teams (SITs). These organizations collaborate closely with State Forensic Science Laboratories (SFSLs) and Central Forensic Science Laboratories (CFSLs) to evaluate evidence and provide findings that are supported by science and may be used in court. With specialist forensic departments capable of handling complicated evidence like DNA profiling, fingerprint analysis, and cyber forensics, the CBI is often referred to be India's top investigative agency. In a similar vein, the National Investigation Agency (NIA), which focuses on crimes connected to terrorism, incorporates forensic science to examine explosives, digital evidence, and biological traces found at crime sites. In the meanwhile, state-level forensic laboratories are often used by state police forces and SITs to handle crimes ranging from financial fraud and cybercrime to murder and sexual assault.

Investigative agencies' first task is to secure and preserve evidence to avoid contamination at the crime site. The integrity of an investigation depends on the proper gathering, marking, and transfer of evidence to forensic labs. Under the direction of investigating agencies, Crime Scene Investigation (CSI) teams carefully record the scene using photos, drawings, and thorough notes. Agencies work with forensic specialists to conduct specific analyzes, such as toxicology, ballistic examination, DNA testing, and digital forensics, when evidence is gathered. These partnerships guaranty that the scientific data supports the investigation story, giving judges clarity during trials. Furthermore, investigative organizations are rapidly incorporating cutting-edge technology into their work, such as cyber forensic tools, DNA databases, and Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems (AFIS). These

Technology makes it possible to handle evidence more quickly and accurately, which lessens the need for human methods.

Despite their vital function, investigative organizations encounter a number of obstacles when trying to successfully use forensic science. Many state forensic labs have inadequate infrastructure, which makes speedy analysis difficult due to antiquated equipment and little resources. Bottlenecks in the criminal justice system are also caused by India's severe lack of qualified forensic specialists. Delays in forensic analysis are another issue since labs often have large backlogs, which affects court hearings by delaying report submissions. Additionally, poor cooperation between court authorities, forensic scientists, and law enforcement organizations sometimes results in misunderstandings and procedural errors. The prosecution's case may be weakened when these inefficiencies lead to forensic evidence being inadmissible in court.

There are a number of modifications that may be implemented to alleviate these issues. Increasing the number of forensic labs nationwide and bolstering forensic infrastructure via investments in cutting-edge technology are top priorities. Better crime scene management and evidence handling may also be ensured by training programs for police personnel, investigative teams, and forensic specialists. To offer precise standards on the gathering, preservation, and admission of forensic evidence in court, improved policy and legislative improvements are also necessary. Innovation and efficiency may be further increased by cooperation between university researchers, forensic organizations, and investigating authorities. By offering real-time insights and guaranteeing safe data preservation, technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) have the potential to completely transform forensic investigations.

Challenges in the Use of Forensic Science in India Because forensic science offers scientific proof that supports the establishment of facts in court, it is crucial to contemporary criminal investigations. Forensic science has been crucial

in resolving complicated criminal cases in India, such as killings, sexual assaults, cybercrimes, and terrorism. Nevertheless, despite its importance, there are several obstacles in the way of forensic science's successful integration into the criminal justice system. These difficulties include inadequate infrastructure, a lack of qualified personnel, ineffective procedures, gaps in technology, and legal obstacles. In order for forensic science to become a trustworthy and essential instrument for criminal investigations and trials in India, it is imperative that these challenges be addressed.

1. Infrastructural Deficiencies

The absence of sufficient infrastructure is one of the biggest obstacles to the use of forensic science in India. Outdated equipment, little funding, and subpar facilities plague many forensic science labs nationwide. Evidence processing is delayed due to the small number of fully operational forensic labs at the federal and state levels; backlogs can last months or even years. In particular, State Forensic Science Laboratories (SFSLs) are often overworked and understaffed, which compromises their accuracy and efficiency. Furthermore, many labs are unable to handle difficult situations like DNA analysis and cybercrime due to a lack of current tools.

2. Shortage of Skilled Professionals

In India, the lack of trained staff and experienced forensic specialists is still a major problem. The supply of qualified experts has not kept up with the sharp rise in demand for forensic knowledge. There are little prospects for professional growth, and many forensic scientists employed by state and local labs lack specialized training. This lack of expertise often leads to contaminated evidence, incorrect analysis, and poor treatment of the evidence. Inadequate courtroom training may also undermine the credibility of forensic scientists' evidence, since they are often called upon to appear in court as expert witnesses.

3. Delay in Forensic Reporting

One major obstacle to the prompt administration of justice in India is delayed forensic reports. Court procedures are often delayed because of the significant backlog in forensic labs, which frequently results in important evidence being unanalyzed for protracted periods of time. A increasing number of criminal cases needing forensic examination, inadequate staffing, and a lack of resources all contribute to this backlog. In addition to having an impact on existing investigations, forensic reporting delays erode public trust in the criminal justice system.

4. Lack of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for gathering, preserving, and analyzing evidence are often lacking across various law enforcement organizations and forensic labs. Critical evidence is often contaminated, lost, or handled improperly in the absence of standard operating procedures. Further jeopardizing the validity of evidence in court is the possibility that investigators on the ground, especially in rural regions, lack sufficient training in gathering and maintaining evidence.

5. Coordination Gaps Between Agencies

Law enforcement organizations, forensic labs, and court authorities must work together seamlessly to conduct successful forensic investigations. However, these organizations often function in silos in India, which results in inadequate communication and procedural errors. If forensic evidence is not managed and transmitted between agencies correctly, it may lose its evidential value. Evidence is often contested in court due to inadequate recordkeeping and a lack of a clear line of custody.

6. Legal and Procedural Challenges

Even while the Indian judicial system acknowledges the value of forensic evidence, there are still issues with its acceptance and admissibility. Expert views may be introduced as evidence under sections such as Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. However, because of procedural inconsistencies during evidence collection and processing, courts often doubt the validity of forensic findings. Furthermore, eyewitness evidence is sometimes given more weight in Indian courts than scientific conclusions. Additionally, there are discrepancies in how judges interpret forensic evidence, which might result in inconsistent conclusions.

7. Ethical Concerns

Many ethical issues are brought up by the use of forensic science, especially when it comes to DNA profiling, biometric data analysis, and digital forensics. Significant ethical conundrums are presented by the preservation of privacy, the possibility of sensitive data being misused, and the absence of clear legislative frameworks.

Furthermore, in a system that is vulnerable to manipulation and corruption, the possibility of tampering with forensic evidence or influencing expert witnesses cannot be disregarded.

8. Technological Limitations

Indian forensic labs often fall behind in implementing cutting-edge technology, despite the fact that forensic science is developing quickly on a worldwide scale. Although their use in India is still in its infancy, technologies like blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning (ML) offer enormous promise to transform forensic investigations. Furthermore, a lot of laboratories don't have the funds to purchase pricey equipment, thus researchers are forced to use antiquated techniques.

9. Public Awareness and Perception

The general public and sometimes even judges have misconceptions about forensic science. The public's impression and the reality of forensic science diverge due to unrealistic expectations that are shaped by popular media and "CSI effect" clichés. People may disregard the practical difficulties encountered by labs in favor of quick and definitive outcomes from forensic analyzes.

10. Policy and Legislative Gaps

India lacks a clear national framework controlling forensic science, despite the country's increasing dependence on forensic evidence. The operation of forensic labs, the admissibility of forensic evidence, and the responsibility of forensic specialists must all be governed by a single legal and administrative framework. To close these loopholes and guaranty that forensic science may be used successfully in criminal investigations, clear rules and regulations are needed.

Opportunities and Potential for Growth in Forensic Science in India

By offering scientific analysis and evidence that help solve crimes and ensure justice, forensic science plays a critical role in the Indian criminal justice system. Forensic science in India has a lot of room to improve due to the intricacy of criminal activity and technological advances. The main prospects and room for growth in the field are examined in this section.

1. Technological Advancements and Integration

There are a lot of development prospects when forensic investigations use cutting-edge technology like artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and data analytics. While ML algorithms may find patterns and correlations in evidence, AI can help analyze large volumes of data efficiently. Digital forensics software and DNA sequencing technology are examples of tools that may improve the precision and effectiveness of forensic investigations.

2. Increasing Awareness and Acceptance of Forensic Evidence

The significance of forensic evidence in Indian courts is becoming more widely acknowledged. The importance of forensic science in rendering just decisions is becoming more widely recognized among judges, attorneys, and law enforcement organizations. Increased expenditures in forensic infrastructure and training are possible as forensic evidence becomes more widely accepted and relied upon.

3. Expansion of Forensic Laboratories

Evidence analysis is presently delayed in India due to a lack of well equipped forensic facilities. These problems may be solved by setting up more local forensic labs with cutting-edge equipment. This extension will increase the dependability of forensic findings while also expediting investigations.

.

4. Specialized Forensic Education and Training Programs

In India, there is an increasing need for qualified forensic scientists. Specialized courses in cybercrime analysis, digital forensics, and forensic science are becoming more and more common at educational institutions. Building a staff capable of managing complex criminal investigations requires these programs.

5. Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)

The resource gap in forensic science may be filled by partnerships between public and commercial organizations. The creation of cutting-edge forensic labs, research projects, and capacity-building efforts may all be facilitated by Public-Private Partnerships (PPP).

6. Forensic Research and Development

Innovation in crime-solving methods depends on funding forensic research. Research in cutting-

edge disciplines like environmental forensics, bio-forensics, and cyber forensics has enormous potential to solve contemporary criminal issues. Promoting cooperative collaborations between academic institutions and research companies may result in ground-breaking discoveries.

7. Legal and Policy Reforms

Supportive legislative and regulatory frameworks are necessary to advance forensic science. The credibility of forensic evidence may be increased by putting in place precise rules for its gathering, storage, and presentation in court. Furthermore, specific forensic laws might simplify procedures in some countries.

8. Cybercrime and Digital Forensics

The need for specialists in digital forensics has grown dramatically due to the exponential surge in cybercrimes. Effectively tackling these issues will need specialized skills in blockchain research, criminal investigation, and digital evidence recovery.

9. International Collaboration and Knowledge Exchange

Participation in international knowledge exchange initiatives and cooperation with foreign forensic science organizations might be advantageous for India. The nation's forensic skills may be greatly improved by implementing international best practices and standards.

10. Enhancing Public Trust in Forensic Science

The development of forensic science depends on fostering public confidence in the field. Public education regarding the importance of forensic evidence in the administration of justice, awareness campaigns, and openness in forensic investigations may all help to increase public trust in the system.In conclusion, forensic science in India has a lot of room to improve thanks to cooperative efforts, education, regulatory changes, and technology breakthroughs. Forensic science may greatly improve the criminal justice system and make society safer with targeted investments and an emphasis on capacity-building.

Comparative Analysis with Global Practices in Forensic Science

Globally, forensic science is essential to criminal investigations and the administration of justice. When compared to international best practices, India has made considerable strides in incorporating forensic science into its judicial system. This section examines important comparative points, emphasizing advantages, disadvantages, and potential for development.

Infrastructure and Technological Capabilities 1. Nations like the United States, the United Kingdom, and Germany have made significant investments in cutting-edge forensic labs that are outfitted with cutting-edge equipment.Standard methods include AI-driven data analysis, automatic fingerprint identification systems (AFIS), and next-generation DNA sequencing. India, on the other hand, has problems with old equipment, poor infrastructure, and restricted access to cutting-edge technology, which can cause delays in the examination of evidence and the conclusion of cases.

1. Standardization and Accreditation

To ensure uniformity and dependability in forensic findings, forensic labs across the world follow established procedures and certification programs like ISO/IEC 17025. The UK is governed by the Forensic Science Regulator (FSR) framework, while the US adheres to the ASCLD/LAB certification criteria. Analysis and reporting are inconsistent in India because certification is still being applied consistently among forensic labs.To ensure uniformity and dependability in forensic findings, forensic labs across the world follow established procedures and certification programs like ISO/IEC 17025. The UK is governed by the Forensic Science Regulator (FSR) framework, while the US adheres to the ASCLD/LAB certification criteria. Analysis and reporting are inconsistent in India because certification is still being applied consistently among forensic labs.

2. Education and Training

Well-established academic programs and certification systems for forensic experts are found in nations like the United States and Australia. These programs prioritize research, internships, and hands-on training. Although forensic science education is becoming more popular in India, there are still few chances for specialization, a lack of curricular consistency, and inadequate practical training.

3. Use of Digital Forensics

Globally, digital forensics has emerged as a key component of contemporary criminal investigations. Advanced skills in blockchain analysis, digital evidence recovery, and cyber forensics are possessed by countries like the United States and Israel. India is making progress in this area, but it still lacks the infrastructure and a coherent plan necessary to successfully counter the growing danger of cybercrime.

4.Legal Framework and Admissibility of Evidence . Courts across the world treat forensic evidence with great respect and have explicit legal guidelines for gathering, preserving, and presenting it. In the United States, for instance, the Daubert Standard establishes requirements for the admission of expert scientific evidence.Even though forensic evidence is becoming more widely accepted in Indian courts, procedural adherence is still unclear, and cases often suffer as a result of incorrect evidence management and recording.

5.Funding and Resource Allocation

Developed countries set aside large sums of money for infrastructure, capacity development, and forensic research. Forensic science in India is still underfunded, which limits its ability to innovate, hire qualified personnel, and maintain its current infrastructure.

6.Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)

Public-private collaborations have been crucial to the advancement of forensic technology and research in nations like the United States and the United Kingdom. India has just lately started looking at these kinds of collaborations, and there is a lot of room for expansion.

7.Research and Development (R&D)

Emerging topics including bio-forensics, environmental forensics, and AI integration are the focus of global forensic research. With little support for innovation and little industry-academia cooperation, forensic research and development in India is still in its early stages.

Recommendations

The following suggestions are put up to close the current gaps and bring India's forensic science procedures into compliance with international norms:

1. **Infrastructure Enhancement**

Upgrading forensic labs with cutting-edge technology, such as sophisticated DNA sequencing, automated fingerprint systems, and AI-powered analysis tools, requires a large investment. To assure accessibility across the nation and minimize delays in the processing of evidence, regional forensic laboratories should be developed.

2. **Standardization and Accreditation**

It is necessary to enforce forensic labs' mandatory certification under international standards like ISO/IEC 17025. To preserve consistency and credibility, uniform procedures for gathering, analyzing, and reporting evidence should be put into place throughout the country.

3. **Specialized Education and Training**

It is crucial to provide uniform forensic science curriculum for colleges and implement required hands-on training programs. To develop a professional workforce, specialized courses in toxicology, digital evidence analysis, and cyber forensics should be heavily encouraged.

4. **Legal Framework Reform**

Forensic evidence must be admissible in court under clear legislative rules that are backed by uniform protocols for gathering, preserving, and recording evidence. The importance of forensic evidence should be emphasized in training programs for law enforcement and judicial professionals.

Increased Funding and Resource Allocation 5. Infrastructure development, workforce capacity building, and forensic research all need specific funding. Forensic skills will be strengthened by giving priority to developing forensic disciplines such environmental forensics, bio-forensics, and cyber forensics.

5. **Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)**

To enhance forensic infrastructure, research, and innovation, cooperation between government organizations, commercial forensic companies, and university institutions should be promoted.

6. **Research and Development (R&D)**

Collaboration between academia and industry is crucial for forensic research and development. The creation of specialized research facilities will spur innovation and facilitate the uptake of contemporary forensic technology.

7. Digital Forensics Focus

To combat the increasing number of cybercrimes, improving digital forensics skills with specialized training and cutting-edge equipment is essential. There should be a dedicated digital forensics department in every state.Cooperation between government agencies, private forensic firms, and academic institutions should be encouraged in order to improve forensic infrastructure, research, and innovation.

International Cooperation

Developing global alliances with esteemed forensic organizations will promote information sharing, collaborative research projects, and the implementation of best practices.

8. Awareness and Public Trust

Campaigns to raise public awareness should emphasize how crucial forensic science is to the administration of justice. Building public trust in forensic investigations requires accountability and transparency.

Conclusion

In conclusion, as a vital instrument for the administration of justice in criminal investigations and trials, forensic science is an essential part of the Indian legal system. Its use into the legal system has greatly improved the efficiency, precision, and dependability of gathering, analyzing, and interpreting evidence. But despite its indisputable significance, forensic science in India confronts a number of obstacles that prevent it from being used to its full potential. These include the absence of standard operating procedures, poor infrastructure, limited financing, a lack of qualified forensic specialists, delays in forensic reporting, and problems with the admissibility of forensic evidence in court. These difficulties are made worse by the lack of comprehensive laws that expressly regulate forensic science procedures as well as the judiciary's and law enforcement organizations' lack of knowledge.

At the same time, forensic science has enormous potential to transform India's criminal justice system. The accuracy and speed of forensic investigations may be greatly increased by the development of technology like digital forensics, artificial intelligence, and DNA profiling. Many current deficiencies may also be filled by increasing capacity via the creation of new forensic labs, specialized training programs, and adherence to international best practices. Building confidence in forensic procedures requires legislative changes, such as the creation of accountability systems and explicit rules regarding the admission of forensic evidence.

To ensure the smooth integration of forensic science into criminal investigations and prosecutions, cooperation between forensic specialists, law enforcement organizations, legal professionals, and the court is also essential. Educational programs and public awareness campaigns may be crucial in demystifying forensic science and fostering public confidence in its conclusions.

To establish a strong forensic ecosystem in India, policymakers, legal authorities, and scientific communities must collaborate in light of these prospects and obstacles. Important steps in this approach include the creation of independent forensic organizations, investments in cutting-edge technology, and encouragement of research and innovation. Additionally, the public's and the judiciary's perception of forensic evidence will be strengthened by addressing ethical issues and guaranteeing the preservation of individual rights throughout forensic investigations.

In the end, forensic science has the potential to be an effective tool for maintaining justice, discouraging crime, and improving public safety in India. Even if there are still obstacles to overcome, forensic science may reach its full potential and become a vital component of India's criminal justice system with a concentrated and cooperative approach.

References

1. Forensic Science in Criminal Investigation and Trials, Aggarwal P. LexisNexis, 6th ed., c2018.
2. Failed Forensics: How Forensic Science Lost Its Way and How It May Still Find It, Saks MJ, Faigman DL. 2008; 4(1):149-171 Annu Rev Law Soc Sci.
3. Biswas G. Review of Toxicology and Forensic Medicine. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers, 2nd ed., c2012.
4. Forensic Science in Criminal Investigation and Trials, Sharma BR. Universal Law Publishing, 8th ed., c2019.
5.Houck MM, Siegel JA. Foundations of Forensic Science. Elsevier, third ed., c2015.
The Indian Evidence Act of 1872. Bare Act with Brief Notes. Publication of Universal LawIn

conclusion, as a vital instrument for the administration of justice in criminal investigations and trials, forensic science is an essential part of the Indian legal system. Its use into the legal system has greatly improved the efficiency, precision, and dependability of gathering, analyzing, and interpreting evidence. But despite its indisputable significance, forensic science in India confronts a number of obstacles that prevent it from being used to its full potential. These include the absence of standard operating procedures, poor infrastructure, limited financing, a lack of qualified forensic specialists, delays in forensic reporting, and problems with the admissibility of forensic evidence in court. These difficulties are made worse by the lack of comprehensive laws that expressly regulate forensic science procedures as well as the judiciary's and law enforcement organizations' lack of knowledge.

At the same time, forensic science has enormous potential to transform India's criminal justice system. The accuracy and speed of forensic investigations may be greatly increased by the development of technology like digital forensics, artificial intelligence, and DNA profiling. Many current deficiencies may also be filled by increasing capacity via the creation of new forensic labs, specialized training programs, and adherence to international best practices. Building confidence in forensic procedures requires legislative changes, such as the creation of accountability systems and explicit rules regarding the admission of forensic evidence.

To ensure the smooth integration of forensic science into criminal investigations and prosecutions, cooperation between forensic specialists, law enforcement organizations, legal professionals, and the court is also essential. Educational programs and public awareness campaigns may be crucial in demystifying forensic science and fostering public confidence in its conclusions.

To establish a strong forensic ecosystem in India, policymakers, legal authorities, and scientific communities must collaborate in light of these prospects and obstacles. Important steps in this approach include the creation of independent forensic organizations, investments in cutting-edge technology, and encouragement of research and innovation. Additionally, the public's and the judiciary's perception of forensic evidence will be strengthened by addressing ethical issues and guaranteeing the preservation of individual rights throughout forensic investigations.

In the end, forensic science has the potential to be an effective tool for maintaining justice, discouraging crime, and improving public safety in India. Even if there are still obstacles to overcome, forensic science may reach its full potential and become a vital component of India's criminal justice system with a concentrated and cooperative approach.

6. c2023.
7.Kumar R. Role of DNA Evidence in Criminal Justice System in India. International Journal of Law, 7(3), 102-109, 2021.
8. Digital Forensics: New Developments in Criminal Investigations, Yadav R, Verma S. International Journal of Cybersecurity, 2020, 5(2), 45-55.
9. Jain V. The Indian Judicial System's Forensic Science Challenges. International Journal of Law Stud. 2017; 4(1):112-121.
10. Singh P. A Comparison of the Indian Legal System and Forensic Science. Asian J. Law Stud. 2019; 6(2):87-94.
11. Bureau of National Crime Records. India's Crime Report. Home Affairs Ministry, c. 2022.
12. Kaul H. Forensic evidence's legal admissibility in Indian courts. J. Forensic Sci. 10(3):156-162, 2020.
13. The 1973 Criminal Procedure Code. Act Bare. Universal Law Publishing, c. 2023.
14. Bansal A. A forensic view of the function of expert witnesses in the Indian legal system. J. Legal Ethics Stud. 2021; 9(1):78-89.
15. Agarwal S, Gupta N. Cyber Forensics: Difficulties in the Indian Court System. International Journal of Digital Law. 2018; 7(4):99-108.
16. Criminal Procedure, Kelkar RV. Eastern Book Company, 6th ed., c2020.
17. Saks MJ, Koehler JJ. Forensic Identification Science's Upcoming Paradigm Shift. Science, 309(5736), 892-895, 2005.
18.Chandrasekhar K. Role of Technology in Enhancing Forensic Investigations. Indian Journal of Forensic Science, 5(2), 66-74, 2021.
19. The Indian Supreme Court. State of Karnataka v. Selvi (AIR 2010 SC 1974). 2012.
20.Mishra R. DNA Evidence Admissibility in Indian Courts. J Indian Legal Res. 8(1):44-53,

2022.
21. Patel N. Indian Criminal Behavior and Forensic Psychology. Academic Press, c. 2019.
22. Delhi Forensic Science Laboratory. Government of India, Annual Report 2021–2022, c2022.
23. Gupta S. The Function of Forensic Science in India's Current Criminal Justice System. International Journal of Law Policy. 2020; 10(3):55-64.
24. Sharma R. Ethical Conundrums in Indian Forensic Science. 2021; 12(1):23-29; Forensic Res J.
Singh J. Problems and Solutions with Scientific Evidence in Criminal Trials. Eastern Law House, c. 2018