*International Journal of Law, Justice & Statutory Affairs*
**Volume 1 , Issue 4, 2025**

# Legal issues and viewpoints on globalization and the worldwide division of labor

**Irwansyah[1] , Eko Soponyono[2] , Ramon Nofria[3]**
School of Law,
Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China

**Research Article**

**Date of Submission: 18-10-2025** **Date of Acceptance: 24-11-2025** **Date of Publication: 03-09-2025**

**Abstract**
The influence of globalization on the international division of labor and the related legal issues are examined in this article. It gives a summary of how labor markets and the nature of employment have changed globally as a result of globalization. The examination focuses on the relevant international legal frameworks that control trade and labor standards, such as WTO rules and ILO agreements. The paper draws attention to the shortcomings and restrictions of the existing legal framework in handling the intricate labor problems brought on by globalization. It talks about how multinational firms influence labor trends throughout the world and their legal obligations. The international labor law system faces additional problems from emerging developments like digitization and the gig economy. The essay offers recommendations for modernizing and changing legal structures to better safeguard workers' rights in a world becoming more linked by the day. It highlights the need of a well-rounded strategy that supports both decent work and economic efficiency.

**Keywords :**Globalization, international labor law, international division of labor, ILO, and WTO

## Introduction

Over the last several decades, globalization has significantly changed the nature of employment and labor markets across the globe. National economies and labor markets are becoming more integrated as a result of increased trade, capital flows, and technological advancements. This has promoted the international division of labor by giving businesses access to talent and skills throughout the world. Globalization has produced economic possibilities, but it has also significantly reduced salaries and labor standards. Workers in developed economies increasingly face competition from those in developing nations. The effectiveness of international labor law to defend workers' rights across the globe is seriously threatened by these significant developments.

The influence of globalization on the international division of labor and its legal ramifications are examined in this article. The main international frameworks controlling labor standards are the subject of the examination, along with how globalization has affected them. It draws attention to the shortcomings and inadequacies of the current legal framework in addressing new developments such as global supply chains, offshore, and the gig economy. In an integrated global labor market, the essay offers recommendations for international changes and efforts that are necessary to strike a balance between economic efficiency and decent employment. The main goal is to provide a thorough analysis of the intricate regulatory problems brought about by globalization as well as viewpoints on how international labor law will develop in the future.

## I. The Nature of Globalization and Its Impact on Labor

The process of countries becoming more economically, politically, and culturally interconnected is known as globalization. Over the last 50 years, rapid developments in information technology, transportation, and trade and investment liberalization have fundamentally changed the world economy. The development of international financial systems, an increase in

global commerce, and the creation of transnational companies (TNCs) are some significant characteristics [1]. National policies aimed at economic liberalization and increased interaction with the global economy have also fueled globalization.

The reorganization of the global division of labor has been one of the main consequences of globalization. In the past, national factor endowments were used to decide the site of production via comparative advantage. Products that heavily relied on locally available resources were exported by nations [11]. Nonetheless, businesses may now access resources, skills, and inputs from anywhere in the globe thanks to globalization. Production processes are now fragmented internationally as a result of this. Production stages are distributed across nations according to efficiency and cost-cutting factors rather than comparative advantage [8].

For instance, a large number of European and American businesses have outsourced services and manufacturing to Eastern Europe and Asia. Multinational corporations have moved labor-intensive manufacturing and IT services to developing nations, drawn by the abundance of trained, inexpensive labor. In a similar vein, businesses use intricate supply chain networks to obtain personnel and inputs from throughout the world. Workers throughout the globe have been significantly impacted by the ensuing global division of labor. On the one hand, workers in impacted industrial sectors and IT services have seen job losses and salary stagnation as a result of offshore in developed nations. However, outsourcing has opened up job prospects in poorer nations. Nonetheless, worries about unfair working conditions and employees' lack of negotiating leverage in international supply chains persist [17].

Globalization has, in essence, increased competitiveness and integration in the global labor market. International labor law, which has historically concentrated on local norms and institutions, faces serious problems as a result. The international legal framework guiding labor standards is examined in the next section.

**II. International Legal Framework Governing Labor Standards**

Conventions and regulations established by the World Trade Organization (WTO) and the International Labor Organization (ILO) serve as the primary sources of international labor law.

Through its conventions, guidelines, and protocols, the ILO develops global labor standards. These address a wide variety of workplace concerns, including equitable pay, collective bargaining, forced labor, and occupational safety [16]. Certain fundamental worker rights are recognized under ILO treaties.

Elimination of child and forced labor; freedom of organization and collective bargaining

Equality in the workplace and access to job possibilities

The majority of member nations have not ratified many of the more than 185 ILO agreements that have been established. The main tenets of international labor law are the eight basic conventions that have almost universal acceptance. ILO instruments, however, do not directly impose legal obligations on governments. Only adhering to the broad principles in national policymaking is a commitment made by ratifying nations [9]. Additionally, the ILO's evaluation procedures for keeping an eye on compliance are inadequate.

Member rights to control labor laws and working conditions are acknowledged under WTO agreements. They do, however, demand that such actions not unjustly impede commerce. Measures pertaining to jail labor, health, and job circumstances are exempt from free trade requirements under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) [4]. Labor norms are also included into regional trade agreements that the US and EU have signed, for example, via social clauses. However, the WTO framework does not make reference to fundamental ILO treaties, and labor rights infractions are not subject to punishment [12].

Opponents contend that WTO doctrine prioritizes commerce over social goals by interpreting social provisions narrowly. For instance, import limitations in the Guatemala Cement case hindered the US from taking action against labor rights violations in Guatemala. Therefore, labor market flexibility is prioritized above worker rights in the WTO model [9]. In general, in the face of globalization, the international legal system provides few means of enforcing labor norms.

**III. Challenges Posed by Globalization to International Labor Law**

The existing international labor regulation system is challenged by a number of aspects of a globalized economy. Governance gaps brought up by international supply chains and industrial networks that span many countries are one of the main problems. While outsourced manufacturing occurs elsewhere, often in export processing zones with minimal rules, lead companies are typically located in industrialized nations. The majority of ILO rules cannot be implemented extraterritorially to regulate manufacturing operations abroad, even if they encompass basic concepts like forced labor and workplace safety [15]. Monitoring the labor practices of overseas suppliers and proxies is difficult in home nations.

Developing nations use inexpensive labor and lax hiring and firing policies to draw in international investors and multinational corporations. Governments worry that strict labor laws might hinder the development and competitiveness of exports. In order to establish a liberal FDI regime, nations purposefully underregulate labor. But the result is a race to the bottom in terms of labor standards [5]. While proponents of worker rights call for higher standards, some contend that this lowers productivity and competitiveness. At the WTO, striking a balance between social and economic aims is still controversial. Domestic labor rules often do not apply to some groups, such as home-based manufacturers, informal laborers, and illegal migrant workers. Another significant issue that has surfaced is the trafficking of migrant workers under terrible circumstances. Despite fundamental safeguards under ILO agreements, these workers are vulnerable to severe abuse due to their lack of legal status and bargaining strength [6]. It is still difficult to address the legal gaps pertaining to unofficial international labor marketplaces.

These systemic problems show how current legal institutions have found it difficult to handle the intricate changes in the global labor division brought about by globalization and liberalization.

**The Role of Transnational Corporations**IV. Through trade, offshoring, and international supply chains, transnational corporations (TNCs) have been the main forces behind and beneficiaries of economic globalization. Globally, labor demand and working conditions are influenced by their procurement and investment decisions. Offshore production allows TNCs to have a "profound influence on the lives of workers without being subject to commensurate responsibilities and duties" [18]. Nonetheless, their actions have a big influence on the host countries' labor rights.

TNCs, according to their detractors, purposefully set up shop in nations with laxer labor laws in order to cut expenses. For example, Apple and Nike have been accused of paying workers in their Chinese and Vietnamese factories less than the minimum wage, excessive overtime, and hazardous working conditions [3, 7]. Despite codes of conduct, monitoring of offshore and outsourced production is still lax. TNCs are also accused of violating fundamental ILO principles by actively opposing collective bargaining and unionization initiatives. Additionally, TNCs can avoid their obligations to regular workers through short-term contracting.

However, supporters contend that by promoting skill development and transferring practices, TNCs create beneficial spillovers for developing nations. The overall effect is still up for debate, though.

As non-state actors, TNCs have no official legal obligations under international law. Human rights obligations concerning child labor and forced labor are the main focus of soft law mechanisms such as the UN Guiding Principles. TNCs are also urged by the ILO's Tripartite Declaration to respect collective bargaining and freedom of association. However, specific duties pertaining to working conditions are still unclear, and enforcement is lax [13].

Determining the proper legal obligations for TNCs is still crucial because they are important players influencing global labor markets. The goal of initiatives like the proposed UN Treaty on Business and Human Rights is to force enterprises to do human rights due diligence. However, because of the intricate international aspects, agreement on the extent and enforcement methods is still problematic.

**Emerging Trends and Their Legal Implications** IV. New trends with significant regulatory ramifications are being created as globalization continues to alter labor markets. Existing labor dynamics and job profiles are at risk from technological transformation. Millions of regular occupations might be eliminated globally by increased automation and the use of AI in industry and services [2]. However, it can also lead to a

need for new, specialized skills. Putting in place comprehensive education and retraining programs is a problem for policymakers.

To provide social protection for non-traditional tech-based occupations, current labor laws must also be modified. For gig economy platform workers, the application of rules pertaining to minimum salaries, working hours, and collective bargaining is still unclear. The evolution of legal systems has been sluggish.

Globalized companies today use immigration, foreign recruiting, and temporary assignments to find specialized expertise throughout the globe. Multijurisdictional labor contracts and workplace rules are at odds due to new types of labor mobility [14]. Legal concerns arise from issues like as employer duties, worker safety, discrimination, and taxes across international enterprises.

Clear transnational labor mobility frameworks that are in line with sustainable development necessitate international cooperation. In order to promote worker welfare and ethical hiring, the ILO and UN are encouraging bilateral and regional labor migration agreements. However, there are still insufficient global governance systems.

**Prospects for Reform and Future Developments** IV. Modernizing the international legal framework for labor is necessary to achieve a globalized economy that is both efficient and equitable.

To enforce fundamental norms across worldwide supply chains, more legally enforceable international instruments are required. A fresh boost is provided by programs like the ILO's Decent Work for All 2030 Agenda [10]. However, it is still difficult to increase the number of nations that have ratified the core ILO agreements. The ILO has the authority to increase oversight, provide specific technical support, and penalize infractions. As an alternative, the USMCA and EU accords have included trade-linked labor conditional links as a means of encouraging compliance. It is also crucial to harmonize domestic laws upward to conform to international labor norms.

TNC accountability may be increased by requiring due diligence and transparency about overseas labor practices. Through voluntary pledges, multi-stakeholder efforts such as the UN Global Compact promote ethical corporate practices. Nonetheless, access to legal remedies and the development of legally enforceable legislation are still crucial for deterrence. TNCs are also subject to more explicit duties in host nations when labor provisions are included into bilateral investment treaties.

To help workers affected by globalization and technology, governments must create social protection programs and adaptable institutions. Training programs, fair taxation, and the expansion of portable health and unemployment insurance may all help workers move into new industries. In order to provide fundamental rights for non-standard employment, legal structures must also be updated. Designing evidence-based and moral labor futures requires international assistance and the exchange of best practices.

**Conclusion**

Through trade, offshore, and digitization, globalization has radically changed the worldwide division of labor. Although technology has greatly increased opportunity, it has also increased inequality and led to a good work shortfall. Enforcing norms in intricate global manufacturing networks has proven difficult for international labor legislation. To ensure fair results in labor markets, it is still essential to address regulatory gaps and inadequacies.

An overview of the effects of globalization on labor and the related legal issues has been given in this article. Expanding fundamental labor rights, controlling transnational corporations, and assisting displaced workers via progressive policies and updated legislation are important areas for modernization. A human-centered globalization that supports efficiency and labor rights may be achieved via collaborative multinational efforts. However, new tools and practical changes are desperately required to strike a balance between decent labor and economic development.

**References**

1. Budd JW, Meltz NM, and Gomez R. The Pluralist Industrial Relations Paradigm of Balancing Competing Interests explains why a balance is ideal. In: Kaufman BE, editor. Theoretical Views on Employment Relations and Work. Industrial Relations Research Association, Champaign, IL, c2004, pp. 195-227.

2. Chang JH, Phu H. ASEAN in transition: Jobs at danger of automation in the future. Working Paper,

Bureau for Employers Activities, 2016, 9.
3. China Labor Watch. Apple's broken promises: Chinese workers pay a heavy price for inexpensive iPhones. China Labor Watch, "WTO law: Analyzes of global governance, constitutionalism, and International Economic Regulation," c2013. Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal, 8(2), 255-296, 2008.
4. Drezner DW. confluence of policies and globalization. Review of International Studies. 2001; 3(1):53-78.
5. Gordon J. International hiring in a supply chain setting. ILO, c2015.
6. Greenhouse S. Nike is under pressure to assist Honduran workers. New York Times, c. 2013.
7. Grossman GM, Rossi-Hansberg E. Offshoring's rise: it's no longer wine for cloth. Pages 59–102 in The New Economic Geography: Effects and Policy Implications, c2006.
8.Howse R. The World Trade Organization and the defense of labor rights. Journal of International Economics L. 6(1):131-172, 2003.
9. ILO. Centennial Declaration for the Future of Work; c2019.
10. Cooper RN, Srinivasan TN, Krugman PR. Growing global trade: causes and effects. Brookings studies on economic activity, pp. 327-377, c. 1995.
11.Leary VA. The WTO and the social clause: Post-Singapore. 1:118 in Eur. JL Reform, 1996.
12. Martin-Ortega O. Human rights and business in global value chains: Examining the efficacy of due diligence. Bailey D, Tombs S, editors. Pharmaceutical Industry Corporate Crime. Routledge, c. 2014.
13.Nielson J, Taglioni D. Services trade liberalization: identifying possibilities and advantages. OECD Working Paper on Trade Policy, 2004, 1.
14. Sivananthiram A. Corporate social responsibility and reputation: A way to uphold labor standards? 2009, 3. Columbia Business Law Review.
15. Tran N, Mahy P, Rycx F. Does a minor salary dispersion result in fewer absentee workers? Journal of Manpower International. 32(2):232-252 (2011).
16. UNCTAD. Global supply chains: trade and economic development strategies. Study Series No. 55, Policy Issues in International Trade and Commodities, c2013.
17. UNHRC. Report of the Special Representative of the Secretary- General on the problem of human rights and multinational companies and other commercial entities. UN General Assembly, Human Rights Council, 35th session; c2017.